



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_OR_4127
Title of the Manuscript:	Retinal astrocytoma: a supportive finding in Tuberous sclerosis
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This manuscript reviews 2 cases of tuberous sclerosis presenting in children. Both children had retinal hamartomas. There is a nice review of the types of retinal hamartomas. However, the manuscript does not add to the current body of literature. The introduction is too long for the purposes of the paper. The English and grammar are awkward and often incorrect. The referencing is also often poor. I would not recommend this manuscript for publication.	This manuscript contains the review of 2 cases of TS. These rare cases have rarely been reported from our subcontinent and it could distribute important knowledge to our colleagues of other departments that meticulous eye examination can be very rewarding to establish the diagnosis whenever TS is suspected. The introduction has been shortened. We belong to a poor but developing country where English is not our native language but we have tried our best to correct the grammatical mistakes. The referencing system has been improved.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<u>Special Note:</u> There is no comment on whether this manuscript was reviewed by the IRB or whether the families consented to be included in this publication. A face is shown and the eyes are not blocked.	The consent from IRB and family was obtained and documented at the end of the manuscript.. The eyes are blocked and identity has not been disclosed.
--	---	---